Knowledgeable Research (KR) 2026, Special Vol. (05): 03
ISSN: 2583-6633, CODEN: KRABAU
International open-access peer-reviewed refereed monthly journal
https://knowledgeableresearch.com/index.php/1

A Critical Study as A Modern Age Perspective: Artificial Intelligence and Human Mind Philosophical Reflections

Dr. Vishal Balajirao Maske*
Head & Associate Professor
DEPARTMENT OF ENGLISH
SHANKARRAO CHAVAN MAHAVIDYALAYA, ARDHAPUR, DIST. NANDED.

Abstract:
Artificial Intelligence has emerged as a defining force of the modern age, raising deep philosophical questions about the nature of the human mind. This paper presents a critical study of Artificial Intelligence from a modern philosophical perspective, focusing on its relationship with human consciousness, cognition, and intelligence. It examines whether AI can truly possess mind-like qualities or merely imitate human mental processes through computation and algorithms. The study reflects on classical and contemporary debates in the philosophy of mind, including the mind–machine relationship, creativity, and moral responsibility. By comparing artificial intelligence with human mental capacities, the paper highlights the limitations of machines and the uniqueness of human consciousness. It emphasizes the need for ethical and philosophical reflection in an increasingly AI-driven world..

Keywords*: Artificial Intelligence, Human Mind, Philosophy of Mind, Consciousness, Modern Philosophy, Ethics of AI.*

Received: 11 December 2025
Accepted: 24 January 2026
Published: 30 January 2026
Corresponding Author:
Dr. Vishal Balajirao Maske
Email: maske.vishal020@gmail.com

Introduction

The philosophy of artificial intelligence is a branch of the philosophy of mind and the philosophy of computer science that explores artificial intelligence and its implications for knowledge and understanding of intelligence, ethics, consciousness, epistemology and free will. Furthermore, the technology is concerned with the creation of artificial animals or artificial people (or, at least, artificial creatures; see artificial life) so the discipline is of considerable interest to philosophers. These factors contributed to the emergence of the philosophy of artificial intelligence.

Artificial intelligence (AI) progressively performs tasks formerly considered uniquely human, including complex reasoning, creative production, and adaptive learning. These advances challenge longstanding discrepancies between artificial systems and human minds, raising questions about consciousness, cognition, and personal identity. Artificial Intelligence (AI) is technology enabling machines to mimic human intelligence, learning, problem-solving, and decision-making by analyzing data, recognizing patterns. Artificial Intelligence (AI) is the field of computer science focused on creating machines that can perform tasks requiring human intelligence, like learning, problem-solving,

and decision-making, by training on vast amounts of data to recognize patterns and act autonomously.

AI powers everyday tools like search engines, virtual assistants, and recommendation systems, allowing computers to understand language, see, learn, and make predictions without explicit programming for every scenario. Philosophical reflections on AI and the human mind explore consciousness, identity, ethics, and the nature of thought, questioning if machines can truly think, feel, or possess moral status, challenging human exceptionalism, and raising concerns about bias, human purpose in an automated world, and the future of human understanding, especially as AI mimics complex cognitive functions, pushing us to redefine what makes us uniquely human.

AI can achieve genuine understanding or consciousness, how human values are embedded in algorithms, and the potential for AI to augment or diminish human cognition and decision-making.AI development forces us to redefine humanity's unique place, potentially shifting focus from biological to broader forms of intelligence and consciousness.

It examines issues related to the philosophy of mind and consciousness, AI ethics, socio-political consequences, epistemological questions, and the future of humanity. The ontological status of AI is analyzed, along with its impact on human cognition, ethical considerations of its use, and potential risks. AI is not only a technological challenge but also a philosophical one. It stimulates the development of new research directions and forces us to reconsider fundamental questions about consciousness, ethics, society, and the future. This aims to analyze the philosophical understanding of AI as a technology, with a focus on consciousness, cognition, and ethics.

The emergence of AI fuels philosophical discussions on the risks of technological singularity, raising concerns about the potential threats posed by AI development toward Artificial General Intelligence (AGI) and how to avoid negative scenarios. The idea of artificial intelligence is closely connected to several important philosophical discussions about the nature of minds. This essay is an introduction to philosophical thinking about artificial minds and AIs.

Historically, advances in science and technology have repeatedly forced humans to reevaluate self-conceptions. The Copernican revolution displaced Earth from the Centre of the universe; Darwinian evolution linked humans to other species; psychoanalysis revealed the unconscious mind. Each of these shifts challenged notions of human exceptionalism while simultaneously deepening understanding of human complexity. AI represents a contemporary mirror. As AI systems increasingly emulate cognitive and creative tasks, humans confront a redefinition of "what is uniquely human." Tasks once regarded as definitive of intelligence—problem-solving, language, artistry—can now be simulated or even surpassed computationally. Rather than diminishing humanity, this confrontation offers an opportunity to clarify the aspects of identity that truly distinguish humans: embodiment, subjective experience, social embeddedness, and vulnerability.

Philosophical reflections on artificial intelligence (AI) and the human mind explore fundamental questions about consciousness, intelligence, and existence, drawing on classic and modern philosophical texts and research. The Chinese Room Argument: Philosopher John Searle used this thought experiment to argue against "strong AI," claiming that a computer program merely manipulates symbols (syntax) without true understanding or meaning (semantics), unlike a human mind. Mind-Body Problem: Rooted in Descartes' dualism (mind and matter are distinct substances), this debate questions if a purely material machine can ever possess a non-physical mind. Materialism and functionalism, conversely, suggest that if mental states are physical or defined by their functional roles, artificial consciousness might be possible in principle. Turing Test and Intelligence: Alan Turing proposed the "polite convention" that if a machine's conversation is indistinguishable from a human's, it should be considered intelligent, sidestepping the debate on true consciousness. Free Will and Motivation: The philosophical tension between free will and determinism is magnified with AI. While current AI operates on programmed goals (volition), a truly conscious AI might be able to set its own goals and exhibit self-interest or altruism, raising questions about its autonomy.

Philosophical Foundations of Mind Philosophical theories of mind provide the conceptual scaffolding for evaluating AI. Dualism, classically articulated by René Descartes, posits that mind and matter are distinct substances (Curley, 2015). Under dualism, AI could never truly have a mind, since machines are purely material. By contrast, materialism maintains that all mental states are physical states. Within this framework, if consciousness is simply brain activity, then in principle it might be reproduced in an artificial substrate, provided the physical organization is functionally equivalent. Functionalism emerged in the twentieth century as a middle ground, emphasizing that mental states are defined by their causal roles, not their material composition (Putnam, 1975). If an AI system processes inputs and outputs in ways functionally identical to humans, functionalists argue, it may legitimately be said to have a mind. This position underpins much of the optimism around machine consciousness. However, functionalism encounters the “hard problem” of consciousness (Chalmers, 1996). While it may explain behavior and information processing, it does not account for the subjective, first-person character of experience. Even if an AI system behaves as though it is conscious, does it actually feel anything? This gap is central to ongoing debates.

Philosophical reflections on AI and the human mind explore consciousness, identity, morality, and the very nature of thought, questioning if machines can truly think, feel, or become persons, challenging human exceptionalism, and debating AI's potential to augment or diminish human intellect and experience, with insights drawing from cognitive science, ethics, and even spiritual traditions. Key debates involve defining consciousness, the "hard problem" of subjective experience (qualia) in AI, the

Copyright: © The authors. This article is open access and licensed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/)

ethics of artificial moral agents, and how AI's algorithmic nature differs from human understanding, potentially leading to new forms of awareness or profound challenges to our self-perception.

Creative processes often draw on emotional experiences—grief in poetry, joy in music, or awe in visual art. These works resonate because they are grounded in subjective feeling. AI-generated art can mimic stylistic patterns, but lacks lived experience. As a result, its "creativity" remains derivative, even if technically impressive. Human creativity often involves risk-taking, breaking norms, or pursuing insights without guarantee of success. Psychology suggests that human cognition and creativity are characterized by embodiment, memory continuity, emotional depth, and cultural embedding—features difficult to replicate computationally. These distinctions form the basis for assessing the boundaries between AI and human minds.

References:

1. Melnyk, Yu., Todorova, S., & Shevchenko, H. (2024). Filosofiia shtuchnoho intelektu u vyshchii osviti [The philosophy of artificial intelligence in higher education]. Humanities Studies: Collection of Scientific Papers, 19(96), 126–134. https://doi.org/10.32782/hst-2024-19-96-14 (in Ukrainian)
2. Bilokobylskyi, O. (2019). "The hard problem" of consciousness in the light of phenomenology of artificial intelligence. Skid, 1(159), 25–28. https://doi.org/10.21847
3. Mazurek, M. (2025). Limitations of artificial intelligence. Why artificial intelligence cannot replace the human mind. Philosophy and Science: Philosophical and Interdisciplinary Studies, (13), 97–111.
4. Avramides, A. (2023). Other minds. In E. N. Zalta (ed.), The Stanford Encyclopedia of Philosophy (Winter 2023 ed.)
5. Baker, L. R. (2000). Persons and bodies: A constitution view. Cambridge University Press.
6. Bourget, D. & Chalmers, D. (n.d.c). Survey results: Zombies: inconceivable, conceivable but not metaphysically possible, or metaphysically possible? Survey2020.philpeople.org.
7. Bringsjord, S. & Govindarajulu, N. S. (2023). Artificial intelligence. In E. N. Zalta (ed.), The Stanford Encyclopedia of Philosophy (Winter 2023 ed.).
8. Gennaro, R. J. (n.d.). Consciousness. In J. Fieser & B. Dowden (eds.), The Internet Encyclopedia of Philosophy.
9. Goff, P., Seager, W., & Allen-Hermanson, S. (2023). Panpsychism. In E. N. Zalta (ed.), The Stanford Encyclopedia of Philosophy (Winter 2023 ed.).
10. Bringsjord, Selmer; Govindarajulu, Naveen Sundar (2018), "Artificial Intelligence", in Zalta, Edward N. (ed.), *The Stanford Encyclopedia of Philosophy* (Fall 2018 ed.), Metaphysics Research Lab, Stanford University, archived from the original on 2019-11-09, retrieved 2018-09-18
11. Chalmers DJ. (1996) The conscious mind: In search of a fundamental theory. Oxford University Press, New York/ Oxford.