Exploring Narratives and Voice in English Literature in the Age of AI

Dr. Anant Janardhan Somuse*

Associate Professor, Head, Department of English

Mahatma Phule Mahavidyalaya, Kingaon, Dist. Latur

Abstract:

The rapid advancement of Artificial Intelligence (AI), particularly in the field of generative language models, has introduced new possibilities and challenges for English literature. Narrative and voice—central concepts in literary studies—are being reconfigured as AI systems increasingly participate in the production, imitation, and transformation of literary texts. This paper examines how AI-generated writing reshapes traditional understandings of narrative authority, authorship, and voice in English literature. Drawing on classical narrative theory, poststructuralist debates on authorship, and contemporary digital humanities scholarship, the study explores whether AI-generated texts can be said to possess a narrative voice, and how such texts complicate distinctions between human creativity and machine production. The paper argues that AI does not replace human narrative agency but instead foregrounds the constructed nature of voice and narration, thereby offering new critical perspectives on long-standing literary debates. By situating AI-generated narratives within the broader history of English literary forms, the study demonstrates how AI becomes a significant tool for rethinking narrative theory in the twenty-first century.

Keywords: Narrative, Voice, English Literature, Artificial Intelligence, Authorship, Digital Humanities.

Introduction

Narrative and voice have long been foundational concerns in English literary studies. From the omniscient narrators of the Victorian novel to the fragmented voices of modernist and postmodernist fiction, literary history reflects an ongoing experimentation with who speaks, how they speak, and with what authority. In recent years, Artificial Intelligence—especially generative language models—has emerged as a new agent in textual production, capable of generating poems, stories, and critical prose that closely resemble human-authored texts. This development raises important questions for literary criticism: Can AI generate a narrative voice? Who is the 'author' of an AI-generated text? And how does AI-generated writing reshape our understanding of narrative form and literary creativity?

The research explores these questions by examining narratives and voice in English literature through the lens of AI-generated writing. Rather than treating AI as a purely technological phenomenon, the study situates it within existing theoretical debates on narration, authorship, and textuality. In doing so, it highlights how AI challenges human-centered assumptions while simultaneously reinforcing key insights of modern literary theory.

In classical narrative theory, narrative voice refers to the speaking position from which a story is told. Wayne C. Booth's concept of the "implied author" and Gérard Genette's distinction between voice and focalization have been particularly influential in shaping modern narratology. English literature offers diverse examples of narrative experimentation, from the intrusive narrator of George Eliot's *Middlemarch* to the interior monologues of Virginia Woolf's *Mrs Dalloway*. In each case, voice functions not merely as a technical device but as a carrier of ideology, ethics, and emotional depth. Traditionally,

narrative voice has been inseparable from human consciousness and experience. Even when narrators are unreliable or fictionalized, they are understood as extensions of human imagination. This assumption is destabilized by AI-generated texts, which simulate narrative voice without consciousness, intention, or lived experience.

The emergence of AI-generated writing reopens the long-standing debate on authorship initiated by poststructuralist thinkers. Roland Barthes's essay "The Death of the Author" argues that meaning resides not in authorial intention but in the play of language and interpretation. Michel Foucault's concept of the "author-function" further suggests that authorship is a cultural and institutional construct rather than a purely personal identity. AI-generated narratives appear to materialize these theories in practice. When a machine produces a coherent narrative, the absence of a human author challenges conventional ideas of creative ownership and narrative authority. However, AI does not operate independently; it is trained on vast corpora of human-authored texts and guided by human prompts. Thus, narrative voice in AI-generated writing emerges as a hybrid phenomenon—shaped by human literary traditions but reorganized through algorithmic processes.

One of the most striking features of AI-generated writing is its ability to imitate distinct narrative voices, styles, and genres. AI can produce texts that resemble Shakespearean drama, Romantic poetry, or contemporary realist fiction. This capacity raises the question of whether narrative voice is inherently tied to human subjectivity or whether it can be reduced to stylistic and linguistic patterns. From a literary-critical perspective, AI-generated voice can be understood as a simulation rather than an expression. It lacks intentionality and emotional consciousness, yet it successfully reproduces formal characteristics associated with narrative voice. This paradox invites critics to reconsider whether voice should be defined by inner subjectivity or by textual effect. In this sense, AI-generated narratives align with postmodern literary practices that emphasize intertextuality, pastiche, and imitation.

The integration of AI into literary production has significant implications for English studies. First, it expands the scope of narrative theory by introducing non-human agents into storytelling. Second, it provides a practical demonstration of theoretical claims about the constructed nature of voice, authorship, and meaning. Finally, AI-generated texts can serve as pedagogical tools, enabling students to analyze narrative techniques, stylistic features, and genre conventions with renewed critical awareness. Rather than signaling the end of human creativity, AI highlights the collaborative and intertextual foundations of literature. Human writers remain central as designers, interpreters, and ethical agents, while AI functions as a technological extension of literary practice.

AI-generated writing represents a significant development in the history of narrative and voice in English literature. By producing texts that convincingly simulate narrative voice, AI challenges traditional assumptions about authorship, creativity, and the speaking subject. However, when viewed through the lens of literary theory, AI-generated narratives do not undermine the discipline; instead, they enrich it by making visible the mechanisms through which narrative voice is constructed. As English literary studies continue to engage with digital and computational methods, AI offers a valuable opportunity to rethink narrative theory for the contemporary literary landscape.

Works Cited:

1. Barthes, Roland. "The Death of the Author." *Image–Music–Text*, translated by Stephen Heath, Hill and Wang, 1977, pp. 142–148.

2. Booth, Wayne C. *The Rhetoric of Fiction*. 2nd ed., University of Chicago Press, 1983.

3. Eliot, George. *Middlemarch*. Penguin Classics, 2003.

4. Foucault, Michel. "What Is an Author?" *Language, Counter-Memory, Practice*, edited by Donald F. Bouchard, Cornell University Press, 1977, pp. 113–138.

5. Genette, Gérard. *Narrative Discourse: An Essay in Method*. Translated by Jane E. Lewin, Cornell University Press, 1980.

6. Hayles, N. Katherine. *Electronic Literature: New Horizons for the Literary*. University of Notre Dame Press, 2008.

7. Woolf, Virginia. *Mrs Dalloway*. Oxford University Press, 2008.